

## ERRATUM

---

**NOTE:** On p. 1165 of “Are Ambient Ultrafine, Accumulation Mode, and Fine Particles Associated with Adverse Cardiac Responses in Patients Undergoing Cardiac Rehabilitation?” by Rich et al. [Environ Health Perspect 120:1162–1169 (2012)], four coefficients were incorrect. The corrected text is as follows:

AMP was moderately well correlated with both UFP ( $r = 0.51$ ) and  $PM_{2.5}$  ( $r = 0.62$ ), but UFP and  $PM_{2.5}$  were not ( $r = 0.11$ ). UFP, AMP, and  $PM_{2.5}$  were less well correlated with temperature and relative humidity ( $r^2 \leq 0.19$ ).

In addition, in Supplemental Material, Table S2 (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1104262>), the 95% confidence interval was incorrect for the rMSSD (0–5 lag hr) for UFP in the two-pollutant model: “–6.47, 0.79” should have been “–6.47, –0.79.”

The authors apologize for the error.

These errors have been corrected in the PDF version of this article and Supplemental Material.